Provided for non-commercial research and education use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.



(This is a sample cover image for this issue. The actual cover is not yet available at this time.)

This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

http://www.elsevier.com/copyright

NeuroImage 67 (2013) 247-256

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect



NeuroImage



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ynimg

Neural oscillations dissociate between self-related attentional orientation versus evaluation

Yan Mu, Shihui Han *

Department of Psychology, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Accepted 14 November 2012 Available online 27 November 2012

Keywords: EEG Neural oscillation Phase synchrony Self-reflection Trait judgment

ABSTRACT

To investigate whether self-reflection on personality traits engages distinct neural mechanisms of self-related attentional orientation and self-related evaluation, we recorded electroencephalograms from adults while they made trait judgments about themselves and an age- and gender-matched friend, or judgments of word valence. Each trial consisted of a cue word that indicated a target person for trait judgment or instructed valence judgment, followed by a trait adjective to be evaluated. Using a wavelet analysis, we calculated time–frequency power at each electrode and phase synchrony between electrode pairs associated with self-, friend- or valence-cues and with trait adjectives during trait or valence judgments. Relative to friend- and valence-cues, self-cues elicited increased synchronous activity in delta (2–4 Hz), theta (5–7 Hz), alpha (8–13 Hz), beta (14–26 Hz), and gamma (28–40 Hz) bands, and increased large-scale phase synchrony in these frequency bands. Self-related evaluation compared to friend-related evaluation during trait judgments induced stronger desynchronization in alpha, beta and gamma band activities, and decreased phase synchrony in alpha and gamma band activities. Our findings suggest that self-related attentional orientation and self-related evaluation engage distinct neural mechanisms that are respectively characterized by synchrony and desynchrony of neural activity in local assemblies and between long-distance brain regions.

© 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Self-reflection is an important feature of human thought and plays a key role in human behavior. The neural substrates underlying self-reflection have been investigated extensively by combining functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) (for reviews, see Han and Northoff, 2009; Heatherton, 2011; Northoff et al., 2006) with the self-referential task that requires judgments of one's own personality traits (Rogers et al., 1977). Increased blood-oxygen-level-dependent (BOLD) signals in the cortical midline structure, including the medial prefrontal cortex (MPFC) and posterior cingulate cortex (PCC), have been observed during trait judgments of the self compared to a celebrity (Fossati et al., 2003; Han et al., 2008; Heatherton et al., 2006; Kelley et al., 2002; Ma and Han, 2011; Ma et al., in press; Macrae et al., 2004; Moran et al., 2006; Zhu et al., 2007; Zysset et al., 2002), indicating that these brain regions are involved in self-reflection on personality traits. While the self-referential task requires both orienting attention to the self and evaluating one's own personality traits, the previous fMRI findings did not dissociate the neural substrates involved in self-related attentional orientation and self-related evaluation due to the low time resolution of the BOLD signal and the paradigms employed by fMRI studies.

E-mail address: shan@pku.edu.cn (S. Han).

Previous fMRI studies of self-referential processing have primarily employed two behavioral paradigms. The first paradigm used a block design in which participants performed a self-judgment task in one block of trials and a celebrity-judgment task in another (e.g., Han et al., 2008, 2010; Ma et al., in press; Wang et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2007). This paradigm required an attentional shift towards the self or a celebrity between successive blocks of trials but not between successive trials, and thus cannot dissociate the neural activity related to self-related attentional orientation versus self-related evaluation. The second paradigm utilized an event-related design in which each trial consisted of a cue word that defines the judgment task and trait adjective for evaluation (e.g., Heatherton et al., 2006; Kelley et al., 2002; Moran et al., 2006). In this paradigm, the judgment task varied across trials such that participants had to shift their attention towards either the self or others first and then to evaluate whether the trait adjective described the target person. However, as the cue word and trait adjective were presented simultaneously in this paradigm (e.g., Heatherton et al., 2006; Kelley et al., 2002), the previous fMRI research using this event-related design was unable to separate the neural substrates involved in self-related attentional orientation versus self-related evaluation either.

To disentangle the neural mechanisms involved in self-related attentional orientation and self-related evaluation, it is necessary to record the neural activity that is elicited by cue words and trait adjectives in the self-referential task, separately. This requires a technique to record neural activity with a high temporal resolution.

^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Psychology, Peking University, 5 Yiheyuan Road, Beijing 100871, PR China. Fax: +86 10 6276 1081.

^{1053-8119/\$ –} see front matter © 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.11.016

Electroencephalogram (EEG) has a temporal resolution of a millisecond and has been used in recent studies of neural activity underlying self-referential processing (e.g., Fields and Kuperberg, 2012; Magno and Allan, 2007; Mu and Han, 2010; Shestyuk and Deldin, 2010; Watson and Dritschel, 2007). Using a block design, Mu and Han (2010) found that phase-locked event-related potentials (ERPs) showed an increased positivity at 200-400 ms after stimulus onset over the frontal area (P2) and an enlarged positivity at 400-1000 ms over the frontal/central areas (P3) during trait judgments on the self compared to a celebrity. Shestyuk and Deldin (2010) also used a block design to investigate ERPs sensitive to the valence of self-referential words and found that positive versus negative words evoked larger amplitudes of the P2 and a late positive component. Magno and Allan (2007) used an event-related design to examine the neural activity associated with autobiographical memory. On each trial, the cue "self" or "friend" was presented first, followed by a word that served as an autobiographical memory cue. The self or friend cue instructed participants to retrieve a specific personal episode that was related to the autobiographical memory cue. Similarly, Magno and Allan (2007) identified neural correlates of self-referential processing by contrasting ERPs evoked by autobiographical memory cues in the self versus friend conditions and found that retrieval of one's own specific personal episodes elicited an increased positive shift of the ERPs at 100-1700 ms. Fields and Kuperberg (2012) also reported increased positivity over the frontal/central region to neutral words imbedded in a sentence when referenced to the self compared to others.

Self-referential processing has also been associated with modulations of non-phase-locked neural oscillations. Using the wavelet analysis (Kronland-Martinet et al., 1987), Mu and Han (2010) analyzed non-phase-locked time-frequency (TF) power linked to trait adjectives referenced to the self or to a celebrity at theta (5-7 Hz), alpha (8-13 Hz), beta (14-27 Hz), and gamma (28-40Hz) bands. They found that, relative to other-referential trait adjectives, self-referential trait adjectives induced event-related synchronization (ERS) of thetaband activity over the frontal area at 700-800 ms and of alpha-band activity over the central area at 400-600 ms. In contrast, event-related desynchronization (ERD) associated with self-referential trait adjectives was observed in beta band activity over the central/parietal area at 700-800 ms and in gamma-band activity over the frontal/central area at 500-600 ms. These findings suggested that both ERS and ERD of neural oscillations were engaged in the self-referential processing but did not distinguish neural activity elicited by cues that shifted attention to the self or others from that elicited by trait adjectives during evaluation of self or others. Thus it remains unclear whether self-related attentional orientation and self-related evaluation are mediated by distinct neural mechanisms.

The current study used a modified event-related design to disentangle the neural activity underlying self-related attentional orientation versus evaluation during the self-referential task. In Experiment

1, trials consisted of an instruction cue followed by a trait adjective (Fig. 1). A cue word, which was either "self," a friend's name, or "valence," was presented first. Self-cue and friend-cue instructed participants to make judgments of whether the following trait adjective described the self or the friend, respectively. Valence-cue indicated judgments of valence (positive vs. negative) of the following trait adjective. We recorded EEG to both cue words and trait adjectives during trait and valence judgments. This allowed us to analyze the neural activity linked to the process of both self-related attentional orientation by comparing EEGs to self-cue and friend-cue and the neural activity associated with self-related evaluation by comparing EEGs to trait adjectives during self- or friend-judgments. Valence-cues and valence-judgment provided a baseline to control for semantic processing and motor responses. To assess the degree to which neural activity in response to the cues in Experiment 1 reflected semantic processing of the self-, friend-, and valence-cues, Experiment 2 presented participants with only the cue words and asked them to perform a semantic discrimination task on the cue words. The neural activity that was elicited by the cue words in Experiment 1, but not modulated by the same cue words in Experiment 2, was specifically associated with self-related attentional orientation.

Similar to our previous research (Mu and Han, 2010), the current study used wavelet analysis to calculate non-phase-locked TF power with a high temporal resolution elicited by cue words and trait adjectives, separately. We compared the non-phase-locked neural activity to the cue words and trait adjectives referenced to the self versus a friend to dissociate neural oscillations involved in self-related attentional orientation and self-related evaluation of personality traits. It has long been known that ERD of non-phase-locked neural activity is associated with increased cellular excitability in thalamo-cortical systems (Steriade and Llinas, 1988) and is interpreted as an electrophysiological correlate of activated cortical areas involved in processing of sensory or cognitive information (Pfurtscheller, 1992). An increased ERD may reflect the involvement of a larger neural network or more cell assemblies in information processing. ERS reflects the synchronized activity of a large number of neurons (Pfurtscheller et al., 1996). ERS of low frequency band activity (e.g., alpha band) occurs during an idling brain state or during expectation of sensory stimuli whereas ERS of high frequency band activity (e.g., gamma band) is associated with a binding of sensory information or sensorimotor integration (see Pfurtscheller and Lopes da Silva, 1999 for review). Mu and Han's (2010) findings suggest that complicated neural processes are engaged in self-referential processing. However, the block design used in the study did not allow us to test whether the ERS and ERD activity was engaged in self-related attentional orientation or self-related evaluation, or both. If, for example, the alpha band ERS arose from an inner-directed attentional demand during the selfreferential processing, whereas the alpha band ERD reflects enhanced task demand during evaluation of self-related trait adjectives (Mu and Han, 2010), the ERS and ERD activity patterns may dissociate



Fig. 1. Illustration of the event-related design in Experiment 1. Each trial consisted of a cue of upcoming task demand, followed by a trait adjective for trait judgments on oneself or a friend or for valence judgments. Both cue words and trait adjectives were in Chinese.

between cue words that induce self-related attentional orientation and trait adjectives that initiate self-related evaluative processing. Thus we tested whether ERS and ERD in multiple frequency bands are respectively induced by self-cue (relative to friend-cue) and self-judgments (relative to friend-judgments).

In addition, since fMRI studies have shown that self-referential processing activates multiple brain regions including the MPFC and PCC and leads to enhanced functional connectivity between the MPFC and the occipital cortex (e.g., Ma and Han, 2011), the current study also investigated whether self-related attentional orientation and self-related evaluation are characterized by distinct patterns of functional integration of large-scale neuronal assemblies during the self-referential task. This was assessed by calculating phase synchrony between electrode pairs using the phase-locking-value (PLV) method (Lachaux et al., 1999). In this method, synchronous neural activity is characterized by a constant phase lag between two electrodes throughout all trials. There has been evidence that phase synchrony in gamma band activity between different brain regions is involved in perception (Rodriguez et al., 1999), conscious recollection (Burgess and Ali, 2002), and emotional processing (Martini et al., 2012). Increased synchrony between prefrontal and posterior association areas in the theta band has also been observed during a working memory task (Sarnthein et al., 1998). We calculated phase synchrony between electrode pairs in different brain regions related to self-cue versus friend-cue and trait adjectives for self-versus friend-judgments to assess whether self-related attentional orientation and self-related evaluation are mediated by different patterns of large-scale integration of neural activity.

Materials and methods

Subjects

Twenty-six healthy adults (13 males, 13 females, aged between 19 and 27 years) participated in Experiment 1. Eighteen healthy adults (13 males, 5 females, aged between 18 and 24 years) participated in Experiment 2. All subjects were right-handed and had normal or corrected-to-normal vision. Informed consent was obtained from each subject before the study. This study was approved by a local ethics committee.

Stimuli and procedure

Both cue words and trait adjectives were presented in Chinese. Each of the trait adjectives consisted of two Chinese characters. Each Chinese character subtended $2.0^{\circ} \times 2.0^{\circ}$ of visual angle at a viewing distance of 80 cm. A total of 300 adjectives from an established personality trait adjective pool (Liu, 1990) were used in Experiment 1. The adjectives were classified into 10 lists of 30 words (half positive and half negative) that were pseudo-randomly selected for different judgment tasks for each participant. Word frequency was matched in each condition.

The name of an age- and gender-matched friend was given by each subject prior to the study. In Experiment 1, each trial started with a cue word of 'self', a friend's name in two Chinese characters, or 'valence' at the center of the screen for 500 ms, which was followed by a fixation cross that was presented randomly between 1000 and 2000 ms at the center of the screen. A trait adjective was then presented for 500 ms followed by a fixation cross with a duration that varied randomly between 1500 and 2500 ms at the center of the screen (Fig. 1). Self-cues and friend-cues instructed subjects to judge whether the following trait adjective was able to describe oneself or the friend. The valence-cue required subjects to judge the valence (positive vs. negative) of the following adjective. Subjects were asked to respond as accurately and quickly as possible by pressing one of two buttons with the left or right thumb. The assignment of 'yes/no' responses to the left or right buttons was counterbalanced across subjects. There were ten blocks of 30 trials, with 10 trials for self judgments, 10 trials for friend judgments, and 10 trials for valence judgments. The order of different judgment tasks was counterbalanced across blocks.

In Experiment 2, only cue words were presented and subjects performed a discrimination task on 'self, a friend's name in two Chinese characters, or 'valence', which were randomly presented in four blocks of 120 trials. Each block consisted of equal numbers of the three cue words. Each trial consisted of a cue word at the center of the screen for 500 ms, which was followed by a fixation cross with a duration that varied randomly between 500 and 800 ms. Subjects were asked to identify the words (self, a friend' name or valence) by pressing one of the three keys on a keyboard. The assignment of the three words to corresponding fingers (index/middle/fourth fingers) and hands (left/right) for response was counterbalanced across subjects. Instruction emphasized both response speed and accuracy.

EEG recording

EEG was continuously recorded from 62 Ag/AgCl scalp electrodes that were mounted on an elastic cap according to the extended 10– 20 system. All channels were referenced to the right mastoid. The electrode impedance of each electrode was kept below 5 kΩ. To monitor eye movement, both horizontal and vertical electro-oculograms were also recorded from electrodes placed 1.5 cm lateral to the left and right external canthi and electrodes placed above and below the left eye. The EEG data were sampled at 250 Hz and filtered with a 0.01–100 Hz band-pass filter, then re-referenced to the algebraic average of the electrodes at the left and right mastoids for off-line analysis. Trials contaminated by eye blinks, eye movements, or muscle potentials exceeding \pm 60 µV at any electrode and trails containing behavioral errors were excluded from further analysis. There were 82% and 73% artifact-free trials with correct responses in Experiment 1 and Experiment 2, respectively.

TF power analysis

We first calculated ERPs to cue words and trait adjectives in each condition (self-, friend- and valence-judgments) with averaging epochs from 200 ms before to 1000 ms after stimulus onset. In order to obtain non-phase-locked neural activities, we subtracted the ERPs in each condition from the corresponding EEG epoch to remove the phase-locked EEG activity from the raw data. Neural oscillations including spectra power and phase synchrony were quantified based on a wavelet decomposition of the signal between 2 and 80 Hz in 1 Hz steps. The signal was then convoluted by the complex Morlet wavelet w (t, f_0) (Kronland-Martinet et al., 1987) with a Gaussian shape in time (SD σ t) and frequency (SD σ f) domains around its central frequency f_0 :

$$w(t, f_0) = Ae^{\left(-t^2/2\sigma_t^2\right)} \cdot e^{2i\pi f_0 t}$$

with $\sigma_f = 1/2\pi\sigma_t$. Wavelets were normalized so that their total energy was 1. The normalization factor A was equal to: $(\sigma t \sqrt{\pi})^{-1/2}$. The time-varying energy $E(t, f_0)$ was defined as the square norm of the result of the convolution of a complex wavelet $w(t, f_0)$ with the signal s(t): $E(t, f_0) = |w(t, f_0) \times s(t)|^2$. Convolution of the signal by a family of wavelets provided a TF representation of the signal. A wavelet family was characterized by the number of cycles of wavelets (NCW), a constant ratio $(f_0/\sigma f)$ which should be chosen in practice greater than ~5 (Grossmann et al., 1989). To acquire better temporal and frequency resolution, we used a linearly increased NCWs (at 2 Hz, the NCW was 3; at 40 Hz, the NCW was 10; at 80Hz, the NCW was 20) in accordance with the previous studies (Delorme and Makeig, 2004; Wu et al., 2007). Relative to using a constant NCW, using the linearly increased NCWs provided better temporal resolution at low frequencies and better frequency resolution at high frequencies. With the linearly increased NCW used in our study, the wavelet duration was 119.7 ms and the spectral bandwidth was 1.3 Hz at 2 Hz. The wavelet duration was 19.9 ms and the spectral bandwidth was 8.0 Hz at 80 Hz.

The TF representation of each condition was first calculated by averaging the non-phase-locked neural oscillations to the trials in each condition for each subject. The TF value used for further statistical analyses was the percentage increase or decrease of spectral power in specific time windows relative to the baseline power from -200 to 0 ms before the stimulus onset (Pfurtscheller and Aranibar, 1979). Considering temporal resolutions of both low and high frequency bands, we chose 50 ms as a time unit and this resulted in 20 time intervals from 0 to 1000 ms. The TF representations around 50 Hz was excluded from data analysis due to the 50 Hz electricity system in China. The remaining frequencies were divided into seven successive sub bands: delta (2-4 Hz), theta (5-7 Hz), alpha1 (8-10 Hz), alpha2 (11-13 Hz), beta (14-26 Hz), gamma1 (28-40 Hz), and gamma2 (60-80 Hz), similar to our previous study (Mu and Han, 2010). To investigate the modulations of TF power varying across different regions of the scalp, electrodes over the scalp were divided into four regions based on their anterior-posterior and middle-peripheral locations: the midline region with three clusters (frontal: FZ, FCZ; central: CZ, CPZ; parietal: PZ, POZ), the anterior region with two clusters (left: F1, F3, F5, FC1, FC3, FC5; right: F2, F4, F6, FC2, FC4, FC6), the central region with two clusters (left: C1, C3, C5, CP1, CP3, CP5; right: C2, C4, C6, CP2, CP4, CP6), and the posterior region with two clusters (left: P1, P3, P5, PO3, PO5, O1; right: P2, P4, P6, PO4, PO6, O2).

To examine the differences in TF powers between self- and friend-cues, we conducted a repeated-measures analysis (ANOVA) with Cue (self-cue vs. friend-cue) and Region (frontal, central, parietal for electrodes along the midline) or Hemisphere (left and right for lateral electrodes) as within-subjects variables. To investigate the difference between general person processing and semantic processing, we performed the ANOVAs with Cue (friend-cue vs. valence-cue) and Region/Hemisphere as within-subjects variables. Similar ANOVAs with Judgment (self vs. friend or friend vs. valence) and Region/ Hemisphere as within-subjects variables were conducted to assess the differences in TF powers related to trait/valence judgments.

To confirm the distinct pattern of neural activities related to cue and trait adjectives during self-judgments, we conducted the ANOVAs of each frequency band activity with Stage (cue/trait word), Task (self/friend) and Region/Hemisphere as within-subjects variables. To assess whether the differences in TF power observed in Experiment 1 can be explained simply by perceptual and semantic processing of cue words, we performed the ANOVAs of TF power to cue words in Experiment 2 with Cue (self-cue vs. friend-cue or friend-cue vs. valence-cue) and Region/Hemisphere as within-subjects variables. All P-values of ANOVAs were adjusted using Greenhouse–Geisser correction for nonsphericity.

Phase synchrony analysis

Similar to the previous research (Doesburg et al., 2008; Gross et al., 2004; Lachaux et al., 1999; Lutz et al., 2004; Rodriguez et al., 1999), we used the same Morlet wavelet transform to estimate whether the phase synchrony across electrodes over different scalp sites in the time windows and frequency bands of interest (TFOI) that significantly differentiated between self- and friend-cues and between self- and friend-judgments also changed as a function of self-related attentional orientation and evaluation. We estimated the phase-locking-value (PLV) defined as the absolute value of the sum of the phase differences between two electrodes. The PLV of signals from electrodes *j* and *k* at time *t* and frequency *f* across *N* epochs was calculated as:

$$\mathsf{PLV}_{j,k,t} = N^{-1} \left| \sum_{N} \mathsf{e}^{i \left[\Phi_{j}(f,t) - \Phi_{k}(f,t) \right]} \right|$$

PLV is a value between 0 and 1. 0 represents randomly dispersed phases among all trials and 1 represents fully phase locked oscillations in all trials between electrodes j and k.

We chose 21 representative electrodes for phase synchrony analyses, which yielded 210 pairs $(21 \times 20/2)$ located in the frontal (F3, F4, Fz), fronto-central (FC3, FC4, FCz), central region (C3, C4, Cz), centro-parietal (CP3, CP4, CPz), parietal (P3, P4, Pz), parietooccipital (P03, P04, P0z), and occipital (O1, O2, Oz) regions. The phase synchrony between each pair of electrodes was computed using paired t-tests. To control the type-I error during multiple comparisons, we used nonparametric permutation test to correct P values (Kaiser et al., 2004; Maris and Oostenveld, 2007). The PLVs of two conditions for comparison were randomly swapped 1000 times. The t-test for each random partition was calculated. This procedure repeated 1000 times for each time–frequency units, leading to 1000 T values. After sorting the 1000 T values, we selected the 95th percentile as our threshold for correction. The observed PLVs whose T values fell within 95th percentile (P<.05) were considered significant.

Results

Behavioral performance

The ANOVA of reaction times (RTs) in Experiment 1 showed a significant main effect of Judgment (F (2, 50) = 3.54, P<.05). Post hoc analyses confirmed that RTs to self-judgments were slightly longer than those to valence judgments (909 vs. 892 ms, t (25)=3.02, P<.01) whereas there was no significant difference in RTs between self and friend judgments (909 vs. 906 ms, t (25)=0.34, P>.05) and between friend and valence judgments (t (25)=1.82, P>.05). The RT results suggest that task difficulty was comparable between self- and friend-judgments and between friend- and valence-judgments.

The ANOVA of RTs in Experiment 2 showed a significant main effect of Cue (self/friend/valence, F (2, 34) = 16.54, P<.01). Pos hoc analysis confirmed faster responses to self-cue compared to friend-cue (456 vs. 477 ms, t (17) = -2.85, P<.05) and valence-cue (456 vs. 496 ms, t (17) = -7.59, P<.0001). RTs were shorter to friend-cue than to valence-cue (t (17) = -2.40, P<.05). Accuracy was higher to self-cue (78%) and friend-cue (78%) relative to valence-cue (73%) (t (17) = 3.49 and 2.98, Ps<.01) but did not differ between self-cue and friend-cue (t (17) = -0.51, P>.05).

Non-phase-locked neural activity in Experiment 1

To assess the neural oscillatory activity involved in self-specific processing (self vs. friend) and general person processing (friend vs. valence), we conducted ANOVAs of TF power in each frequency band related to cue words and trait adjectives, respectively.

Synchronous activity related to self-cue

As shown in Fig. 2, relative to friend-cue, self-cues elicited increased ERS in multiple frequency bands. These included increased delta band activity at 600–800 ms over the posterior regions to self-cue compared to friend-cue (F (1, 25)=4.41, P<.05, η^2 =.15). Similarly, self- vs. friend-cue induced increased theta band activity over the anterior regions at 200–300 ms (F (1, 25)=4.81, P<.05, η^2 =.16) and at 600–700 ms (F (1, 25)=6.66, P<.05, η^2 =.21) and over the central regions at 300–400 ms (F (1, 25)=6.27, P<.05, η^2 =.20). Beta band activity increased significantly to self- vs. friend-cue over the anterior region at 50–100 ms (F (1, 25)=6.90, P<.05, η^2 =.22). Gamma1 band activity also increased significantly to self- compared to friend-cue over the midline and central regions at 100–400 ms (central, F (1, 25)=5.82, P<.05, η^2 =.19; midline, F (1, 25)=7.04, P<.05, η^2 =.22) and over the posterior region at 300–500 ms (F (1, 25)=9.08, P<.01, η^2 =.27).

Y. Mu, S. Han / NeuroImage 67 (2013) 247-256



Fig. 2. The total synchronous TF power induced by self-cues compared to friend-cues. a) The differential TF power induced by self-cues vs. friend-cues at the left frontal electrode F5 shows increased spectra power at 2–40 Hz from 0 to 1000 ms after the onset of cue words. b) Delta band activity related to self-cue and friend-cue at the posterior electrode P1. c) Theta band activity related to self-cue and friend-cue at the left frontal electrode F5. d) Alpha1 band activity related to self-cue and friend-cue at the left central electrode CP3. e) Beta band activity related to self-cue and friend-cue at the left anterior electrode F5. f) Gamma1 band activity related to self-cue and friend-cue at the central electrode C1. g) The topographies illustrate the distribution of the differential power to self- vs. friend-cues in a 50 ms time window at the maximum difference in each band. The scale for TF power indicates normalized differential power. Red color represents event-related synchrony and blue color represents event-related desynchrony.

Significant interactions of Cue×Region were observed in alpha1 band activity at 300–400 ms over the central region (F (2, 50) = 9.53, P<.01, η^2 = .28) and in beta band at 50–100 ms at the midline electrodes (F (2, 50) = 5.783, P<.05, η^2 = .19). Post-hoc analyses showed that increased activity was observed with self-cues relative to friend-cues in the left central region in alpha1 band (F (1, 25) = 5.32, P<.05, η^2 = .18) and in the frontal sites in beta band (F (1, 25) = 5.55, P<.05, η^2 = .18). Self- vs. friend-cue failed to modulate neural oscillations in the alpha2 and gamma2 bands (Ps>.05).

ANOVAs of delta band activity to friend-cue and valence-cue showed a significant interaction of Cue×Hemisphere at 600–1000 ms in the posterior regions (F (2, 50)=8.61, P<.01, η^2 =.24). Post-hoc analyses showed that, relative to valence-cue, friend-cue induced decreased delta band activity in the right posterior regions at 600–700 ms (F (1, 25)=8.61, P<.01, η^2 =.24). There were also significant interactions of Cue×Hemisphere in alpha1 band over the anterior regions at 700–900 ms (F (2, 50)=4.86, P<.05, η^2 =.15) and in the alpha2 band over the anterior regions at 800–900 ms (F (2, 50)=7.15, P<.05, η^2 =.20). Post-hoc analyses revealed that friend- vs. valence-cue increased alpha band activity over the right anterior region (alpha1, F (1, 25)=6.85, P<.05, η^2 =.22; alpha2,

F (1, 25) = 8.89, P<.01, η^2 = .26). Neither the main effect of Cue nor Cue×Region interaction was significant in other band activities (Ps > .05).

Desynchronous activity related to evaluation of one's own personality traits

Neural oscillations associated with self-referential evaluation were identified by comparing the neural activity evoked by trait judgments on oneself vs. a friend. Relative to friend-judgments, self-judgments induced decreased activity in multiple band activities (alpha1: the central region, F (1, 25) = 6.25, P<.05, η^2 = .20 at 300–400 ms; the midline scalp site, F (1, 25) = 6.58, P<.05, η^2 = .21 at 300–400 ms; the posterior region, F (1, 25) = 7.47, P<.05, η^2 = .23 at 300–500 ms; beta: the anterior region, F (1, 25) = 7.79, P<.01, η^2 = .24 at 200–500 ms; the midline scalp site, F (1, 25) = 6.81, P<.05, η^2 = .21 at 200–400 ms; the central region, F (1, 25) = 6.32, P<.05, η^2 = .20 at 200–300 ms; gamma1: the anterior region, F (1, 25) = 4.55, P<.05, η^2 = .15 at 300–400 ms, Fig. 3). Increased activity to self- vs. friend-judgments was observed only in the delta band activity at 100–300 ms over the posterior region (F (1, 25) = 5.33, P<.05, η^2 = .18). ANOVAs of other frequency band activities failed to show significant effect of Judgment (Ps > .05).

Author's personal copy

Y. Mu, S. Han / NeuroImage 67 (2013) 247-256



Fig. 3. The desynchronous TF power induced by trait adjectives during self- vs. friend-judgments. a) The decreased TF power induced by trait adjectives at 5–40 Hz from 0 to 1000 ms after the onset of trait adjectives during self- vs. friend-judgments is illustrated at the posterior electrode P5. b) Alpha1 band activity C1. c) Beta band activity elicited by trait adjectives during self- vs. friend-judgments at the right anterior electrode FC2. d) Gamma1 band activity elicited by trait adjectives during self- vs. friend-judgments at the right central electrode C2. e) The topographies illustrate the distribution of the differential alpha1 band activity to trait adjectives during self- vs. friend-judgments in a 50 ms time window at the maximum difference in each band. The color bar indicates normalized differential power. Red color represents event-related esynchrony and blue color represents event-related desynchrony.

Relative to valence-judgments, friend-judgments only showed increased gamma1 band activity in the anterior (300–400 ms, F (1, 25)=5.69, P<.05, η^2 =.19; 700–800 ms, F (1, 25)=14.80, P<.01, η^2 =.37) and the posterior regions (300–400 ms, F (1, 25)=6.64, P<.05, η^2 =.21). Neither the main effect of Judgment nor its interaction with Hemisphere was significant (Ps>.05).

Distinct patterns of neural oscillations to self-cue and self-related trait adjectives

To further confirm the distinct pattern of neural oscillations related to cue and trait adjectives in the self and friend conditions, we conducted ANOVAs with Stage (cue vs. trait word), Task (self vs. friend), and Region/Hemisphere as within-subjects variables. There was a significant main effect of Stage in multiple bands. Increased neural oscillations to Judgment vs. Cue stage were observed in delta band at 400–1000 ms (anterior, F (1, 25) = 29.02, P<.001, η^2 = .54; central, F (1, 25)=46.86, P<.001, η^2 =.65; posterior, F (1, 25)= 54.77, P<.001, $\eta^2 = .69$; midline, F (1, 25)=69.56, P<.001, $\eta^2 =$.74), beta band at 900-1000 ms (central, F (1, 25) = 14.04, P<.001, η^2 = .36; posterior, F (1, 25) = 22.71, P<.001, η^2 = .48), and gamma band at 800–900 ms (central, F (1, 25)=4.66, P<.05, η^2 =.16). More importantly, we found significant Stage × Task in the theta band activity at 300–400 ms over the central region (F (1, 25) =5.42, P<.05, η^2 = .18, Fig. 4a), in the alpha1 band activity at 300-1000 ms over the central and posterior regions (central, F (1, 25) =8.94, P<.01, $\eta^2 = .26$; posterior, F (1, 25)=5.42, P<.05, $\eta^2 = .18$, Fig. 4b), in the beta band activity over the anterior region (50-100 ms, F (1, 25)=9.48, P<.01, η^2 =.28; 200–400 ms, F (1, 25)= 8.17, P<.01, η^2 = .25, Fig. 4c) and the central region (200–400 ms, F (1, 25) = 6.04, P<.05, η^2 = .20), in the gamma1 band activity over the central regions (100–200 ms, F (1, 25)=4.09, P=.05, $\eta^2\!=\!.14;$ 300–500 ms, F (1, 25) = 9.27, P<.01, η^2 = .27, Fig. 4d). These results confirmed the opposite effects of self-cue and self-judgment on the neural oscillations in multiple frequency band activities.

Post-hoc analyses were conducted to further confirm the differences in neural oscillations between self-cue and self-judgment and between friend-cue and friend-judgment. Relative to self-judgment, self-cue induced increased power in the theta band over the central area (300–400 ms, F (1, 25)=31.92, P<.0001, η^2 =.56), in the alpha1 band activity over the central (300-1000 ms, F (1, 25) =14.84, P<.001, $\eta^2 = .37$) and posterior regions (300–1000 ms, F (1, (25) = 14.64, P<.001, $\eta^2 = .37$), in the beta band over the anterior regions (0–100 ms, F (1, 25) = 7.85, P<.01, η^2 = .24; 200–300 ms, F (1, 25) = 4.62, P<.05, $\eta^2 = .16$), and in the gamma1 band over the central region (300–500 ms, F (1, 25)=6.60, P<.05, η^2 =.21). In contrast, relative to friend-judgment, friend-cue led to decreased power in the beta band over the anterior (200-400 ms, F (1, 25) = 9.84,P<.01, η^2 = .28) and central regions (200–400 ms, F (1, 25) = 5.23, P<.05, $\eta^2\!=\!.17$), and in the gamma1 band over the central region $(100-200 \text{ ms}, F(1, 25) = 4.57, P < .05, \eta^2 = .16)$. There was no significant difference in the lower band activity between friend-cue and friend-judgments (P>.05).

Phase synchrony of neural oscillations to self-cue

To investigate whether functional integration of large-scale neuronal assemblies was modulated by self-related attentional orientation, we analyzed phase synchronization in the TFOI that significantly differentiated between self-cue and friend-cue. The PLVs generated from electrode pairs in the TFOI associated with self- vs. friend-cues were compared using paired t-tests with nonparametric permutation test corrections. Fig. 5a illustrates the significant differences in phase synchronization between self-cues and friend-cues. Relative to friendcues, self-cues yielded increased phase synchronization in the theta band between the left central electrodes and the bilateral posterior electrodes and between the right central electrodes and the frontal electrodes at 300–400 ms (mean t (25)=3.08, corrected P<.05) and in the alpha1 band between the right parietal region and the central regions at 300–400 ms (t (25)=2.92, corrected P<.05). Self-cues also

252

Y. Mu, S. Han / NeuroImage 67 (2013) 247-256



Fig. 4. Illustration of distinct patters of neural oscillations to self-cue and self-related trait adjectives. Each panel shows a bar chart of spectra power to cue words and trait adjectives during self- and friend-judgments. The topography shows distribution of F values that indicate a significant interaction of Stage×Task in a) theta band activity at the central region at 300–400 ms, b) alpha1 band activity at the central region at 300–400 ms, c) beta band activity at the anterior region at 200–300 ms, and d) gamma band activity at the central region at 100–200 ms.



Phase desynchrony of neural oscillations to evaluation of one's own personality traits

To assess whether functional integration of large-scale neuronal assemblies was also involved in self-related evaluation during trait judgments, we conducted phase synchronization analyses in the TFOI that significantly differentiated between self- and friend-judgments on personality traits. Relative to friend-judgments, self-judgments induced decreased phase synchrony in alpha1 band between the anterior and posterior electrodes over the midline and left hemisphere at 300-400 ms (t (25) = -3.22, corrected P<.05) and in the gamma1 band between the frontal and centroparietal electrodes at 300-400 ms (t (25) = -3.18, corrected P<.05, Fig. 5b). TFOI analyses of phase desynchrony in other frequency bands did not show any significant difference between self- and friend-judgments (Ps>.05). We also compared phase synchrony of neural oscillations related to friend- vs. valence-judgments. This only showed increased phase synchronization in gamma1 band between anterior and posterior electrodes at 300-400 ms (t (25) = 2.88, corrected P<.05).

Non-phase-locked neural activity in Experiment 2

To assess whether the neural oscillatory activity to self-cue observed in Experiment 1 might arise from simple cue-induced perceptual and semantic processing, we compared TF power related to self-cue and friend-cue in Experiment 2, which required similar perceptual and semantic processing independent of self-related attentional orientation. The analyses did not show significant differences in any frequency band activity between self-cues and friend-cues (Ps>.05). We only found that, relative to valence-cue, self-cue induced decreased theta band activity at 400–700 ms over the anterior (F (1, 17)=8.28, P=.01, η^2 =.33) and central (F (1, 17)=8.53,



Fig. 5. a) Illustration of increased phase synchrony related to self-cues compared to friend-cues in the theta (300–400 ms), alpha1 (300–400 ms), and gamma1 (400–500 ms) band activity. b) Illustration of decreased phase synchrony induced by self- vs. friend-judgment in the alpha1 (300–400 ms), and gamma1 (300–400 ms) bands.

P<.01, η^2 = .33) regions, whereas friend-cue induced decreased theta activity at 400–600 ms over the anterior (F (1, 17) = 5.20, P<.05, η^2 = .23) and central (F (1, 17) = 4.83, P = .04, η^2 = .22) regions. TFOI analyses of phase synchrony failed to show any significant difference between self-cue and friend-cue and between friend-cue and valence-cue (Ps>.05).

Discussion

The current study examined whether self-related attentional orientation and self-related evaluation engage distinct neural oscillatory mechanisms during personality trait judgments. We modified a canonical self-referential task (Rogers et al., 1977) by inserting a time lag between the cues orienting one to a trait/valence judgment task and the trait adjectives that initiated evaluative processes. We found that neural oscillations linked to self-related attentional orientation was characterized mainly by enhanced synchronous activity and increased phase synchrony in multiple frequency bands. In contrast, self-related evaluation of trait adjectives mainly induced decreased neural oscillations and phase desynchrony in multiple frequency bands. Our findings provide evidence for distinct neural oscillatory mechanisms underlying self-related attentional orientation and self-related evaluation during self-reflection on personality traits.

Neural oscillations and self-related attentional orientation

It is not difficult for a healthy adult to decide whether a trait adjective describes himself or herself. What cognitive processes are engaged during self-reflection on one's own personality traits? According to Klein et al. (2002), an adult has a great deal of information about his or her own behavior, which helps to form summary representations of one's own important traits in order to retrieve them quickly when needed. To decide whether a trait is self-descriptive, one may also have to recall episodes that are inconsistent with the trait in question. In the paradigm used in our study, self-cue that indicated self-related trait judgments directed attention toward the database of trait summaries about oneself. Because of the unique position of the self among people and the significance of quick self-reflection for social interaction, the inward-directed attention, compared to attention to others, may engage increased neural activity in a specific brain region and enhanced coactivation of neural activity between multiple coordinated brain regions.

Indeed, we found that, relative to friend-cue that required judgments on a familiar other, self-cue elicited stronger neural oscillatory activity in multiple frequency bands. Increased delta band activity to self-cue was evident over multiple brain regions and increased beta and gamma band activities related to self-cue were found over the fronto-central areas. Increased alpha1 band activity to self-cue versus friend-cue was most salient over the left than right temporal regions. In contrast, friend-cue elicited decreased delta band activity over the right parietal and occipital regions relative to valence-cue. Although friend-cue also led to increased alpha band activity compared to valence-cue, this effect was evident only over the right fronto-central regions, which was different from the increased alpha1 band activity to self-cue over the left central region. The distinct patterns of neural oscillatory modulations to self-cue versus friend-cue cannot be attributed to the differences in perceptual and semantic processing because a simple semantic discrimination task performed on the same cue words in Experiment 2 did not elicit differential modulations of neural oscillatory activity to self-cue and friend-cue. Although the cue words in Experiment 2 did not instruct trait judgments on the self and a friend, the word 'self' may induce greater alertness relative to a friend's name and the word 'valence,' thus leading to faster behavioral responses to self-cue than friend-cue and valence-cue. However, such effects, if any, did not necessarily induce increased synchronous neural oscillations as shown in Experiment 2. The functional significance of self-cue for inward-directed attention during trait judgments seemed to be critical for the modulations of neural oscillatory activity.

Previous EEG studies have shown evidence for the association between internally directed attention and increased neural oscillations in both low and high frequency bands. Attention to internal processing during mental calculation tasks increased delta synchronization (Fernández et al., 1995; Harmony et al., 1996) and mental simulation of jogging enhanced theta band activity (Li et al., 2007). Internally directed attention such as mental arithmetic and mental imagery also increased alpha band synchronization (Cooper et al., 2003; Orekhova et al., 2001), possibly due to an active inhibition of other mental activity. Similarly, in our study, self-cue instructed participants to direct their attention to their own internal traits and to inhibit cognitive processes unrelated to the self in order to prepare for self-related evaluative processes. Our results suggest that inward-directed attention to one's own personality traits is also mediated by increased delta, theta and alpha synchronous activity following self-cues.

It has been hypothesized that high frequency band activity (e.g. gamma oscillations) plays an important role in filling up the gap between single neurons and neural assemblies (Basar et al., 2000). Such activity has been linked to multiple processes such as attention (Gruber et al., 1999; Herrmann and Knight, 2001; Müller et al., 2000), sensory memory (Haenschel et al., 2000), and recollection and the process of familiarity (Burgess and Ali, 2002; Tallon-Baudry, 2009). Beta and gamma oscillations are also associated with conscious perception. Tallon-Baudry et al. (1996, 1997) observed a large increase in gamma band activity when participants consciously perceived shapes or objects. Other researchers also reported that gamma-band phase synchrony increased when particular conscious events occurred (e.g., Rodriguez et al., 1999). Thus, local neural synchronization and long-range neural synchronization of gamma band activity are potential neural correlates of consciousness (Varela et al., 2001). In our study, both self-cue and friend-cue were used to indicate upcoming trait judgments. However, self-cue was different from friend-cue in that it reminded participants of the self as the target for conscious processing. Thus the increased beta and gamma band activity and the enhanced phase synchrony in these frequency bands may reflect enhanced self-awareness elicited by self-cue. Unlike the increased theta-/alphaband phase synchrony that was widely distributed over the scalp, the increased beta-/gamma-band phase synchrony was most salient between the central region and the anterior lateral frontal regions and between the central region and the posterior parietal and occipital regions. Because gamma band phase synchronization may establish transient associations between brain regions (Fell and Axmacher, 2011), our results suggest that successful direction of attention internally to oneself may engage several brain regions that are functionally connected and that the central region of the cortical midline structures may play a critical role in coordinating other brain regions to direct attention to the self so that future self-related evaluative processing can be conducted efficiently.

Neural oscillations of self-related evaluation

The modified event-related design utilized in the current work separated self-related evaluation from self-related attentional orientation by inserting a delay between self-cue and trait adjectives for selfjudgment. Thus, self-related evaluative processes occurred mainly after the trait adjectives had been presented. We found that selfrelated evaluative processes following the trait adjectives induced increased neural oscillations in delta band activity but decreased neural oscillations in alpha, beta, and gamma band activities. These effects were different from the modulation of neural oscillatory activity associated with evaluation of a friend's traits because trait judgments on a friend increased gamma band activity relative to valence judgments. It appears that the decreased neural oscillations in beta and gamma band activity are common for self-related evaluative processes that are engaged in both the self-referential task in the block design in our previous work (Mu and Han, 2010) and the event-related design in the current study.

Besides the functional role in conscious processing (Varela et al., 2001), high frequency band activity is also engaged in memory processes. For example, increased gamma band oscillations were observed with a target stimulus that matched working memory contents (Debener et al., 2003). Stimuli with representations in long-term memory also induced greater gamma responses compared to stimuli that subjects had never seen before (Herrmann et al., 2004a, 2004b). Thus it has been suggested that gamma oscillations play a key role in the comparison of memory contents with stimulus-related information (Herrmann et al., 2004a, 2004b). We previously suggested that the decreased gamma band activity reflects less effort required for the comparison of memory contents with self-related stimuli compared to other-related stimuli (Mu and Han, 2010), possibly due to the existence of a self-related trait summary (Klein et al., 2002). In contrast, trait judgments of others may require searching for evidence from episodic memory and thus induce greater synchrony in localized neuronal pools. Thus, our current findings suggest that the decreased oscillatory activity in the gamma band is associated with self-related evaluation and possibly reflects a reduced effort for memory retrieval during reflection of one's own, compared to a friend's, personality traits.

Our phase synchrony analysis also revealed event-related changes in phase synchronization elicited by trait adjectives. The coherent neural oscillations between long-distance brain regions decreased during trait judgments of the self relative to a friend, and this effect was observed in multiple frequency bands and across a large scale of brain regions. Recent research suggests that phase synchronization of neural activity also has a role in both working memory and long-term memory (see Fell and Axmacher, 2011, for review). For example, phase synchronization in the theta band between frontal and temporal-parietal regions and in the alpha band between midline parietal and left temporal/parietal sites increased as a function of memory load (Payne and Kounios, 2009). Enhancement of gamma band phase synchronization was observed between posterior and frontal sites during recall of previously learned associations between different line drawings (Gruber et al., 2001). If the large-scale phase synchronization induced during trait judgments subserves memory processes, our results suggest that, relative to trait judgments on the self, trait judgments on a close other may require stronger long-range neural integration in order to retrieve information from memory. This is consistent with the proposal that less memory effort is required for trait judgments of the self compared to a friend because of the presence of a self-related trait summary (Klein et al., 2002).

Conclusion

The current study developed a paradigm to separate self-related attentional orientation from self-related evaluation during a selfreferential task. Neural oscillations related to these two components of self-referential processing during trait judgments were identified by analyzing EEG activity to self-cue and trait adjectives for self-judgments that were separated by a time interval. We showed that self-cue elicited increased spectra power and phase synchrony in multiple frequency band activities compared to friend-cue, suggesting that enhanced synchronous neuronal activity was recruited when shifting attention toward the self. However, trait adjectives during self vs. friend judgments were associated with decreased alpha/beta/gamma band oscillations and weakened phase synchrony in multiple frequency band activity. Our findings suggest that distinct neural oscillatory mechanisms are engaged in self-related attentional orientation and self-related evaluation. Neural communication in local assemblies and between long-distance brain regions together contributes to self-related attentional orientation and evaluation during the self-referential task.

Although the current work suggests that multiple brain regions and their functional connections are involved in self-related attentional orientation and evaluation, our EEG results did not uncover the exact anatomical structures involved in self-related processing in different time windows due to the low spatial resolution of EEG signals. Future fMRI research should map self-related attentional orientation and evaluation onto specific brain regions and variations of function connectivity between these brain regions. Finally, since there has been evidence that self-concept and the underlying neural mechanisms are sensitive to sociocultural experiences (Han and Northoff, 2009; Han et al., in press; Markus and Kitayama, 1991), it would be interesting to investigate whether and how the neural activity underlying self-related attentional orientation and evaluation is influenced by social desirability bias and sociocultural contexts.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program 2010CB833903), National Natural Science Foundation of China (Project 30910103901, 91024032, 81161120539), and Chinese Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Project 2011M500171, 2012T50006). We thank Lei Liew for proof reading of the manuscript.

References

- Basar, E., Basar-Eroglu, C., Karakas, S., Schurmann, M., 2000. Brain oscillations in perception and memory. Int. J. Psychophysiol. 35, 95–124.
- Burgess, A.P., Ali, L., 2002. Functional connectivity of gamma EEG activity is modulated at low frequency during conscious recollection. Int. J. Psychophysiol. 46, 91–100.
- Cooper, N.R., Croft, R.J., Dominey, S.J.J., Burgess, A.P., Gruzelier, J.H., 2003. Paradox lost? Exploring the role of alpha oscillations during externally vs. internally directed attention and the implications for idling and inhibition hypotheses. Int. J. Psychophysiol. 47, 65–74.
- Debener, S., Herrmann, C.S., Kranczioch, C., Gembris, D., Engel, A.K., 2003. Top-down attentional processing enhances auditory evoked gamma band activity. Neuroreport 14, 683–686.
- Delorme, A., Makeig, S., 2004. EEGLAB: an open source toolbox for analysis of singletrial EEG dynamics including independent component analysis. J. Neurosci. Methods 134, 9–21.
- Doesburg, S.M., Roggeveen, A.B., Kitajo, K., Ward, L.M., 2008. Large-scale gamma-band phase synchronization and selective attention. Cereb. Cortex 18, 386–396.
- Fell, J., Axmacher, N., 2011. The role of phase synchronization in memory processes. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 12, 105–118.
- Fernández, T., Harmony, T., Rodríguez, M., Bernal, J., Silva, J., Reyes, A., Marosi, E., 1995. EEG activation patterns during the performance of tasks involving different components of mental calculation. Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol. 94, 175–182.
- Fields, E.C., Kuperberg, G.R., 2012. It's All About You: an ERP study of emotion and selfrelevance in discourse. Neuroimage 62, 562–574.
- Fossati, P., Hevenor, S.J., Graham, S.J., Grady, C., Keightley, M.L., Craik, F., Mayberg, H., 2003. In search of the emotional self: an fMRI study using positive and negative emotional words. Am. J. Psychiatry 160, 1938–1945.
- Gross, J., Schnitzler, I., Kessler, K., Shapiro, K., Hommel, B., Schnitzler, A., 2004. Modulation of long-range neural synchrony reflects temporal limitations of visual attention in humans. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 101, 13050–13055.
- Grossmann, A., Kronland-Martinet, R., Morlet, J., 1989. Reading and understanding continuous wavelets transforms. In: Combes, J.M., Grossmann, A., Tchamitchian, P. (Eds.), Wavelets, Time–Frequency Methods and Phase Space. Springer, New York, pp. 2–20.
- Gruber, T., Müller, M.M., Keil, A., Elbert, T., 1999. Selective visual-spatial attention alters induced gamma band responses in the human EEG. Clin. Neurophysiol. 110, 2074–2085.
- Gruber, T., Keil, A., Müller, M.M., 2001. Modulation of induced gamma band responses and phase synchrony in a paired associate learning task in the human EEG. Neurosci. Lett. 316, 29–32.
- Haenschel, C., Baldeweg, T., Croft, R.J., Whittington, M., Gruzelier, J., 2000. Gamma and beta frequency oscillations in response to novel auditory stimuli: a comparison of human electroencephalogram (EEG) data with in vitro models. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 97, 7645–7650.
- Han, S., Northoff, G., 2009. Understanding the self: a cultural neuroscience approach. Prog. Brain Res. 178, 203–212.
- Han, S., Mao, L., Gu, X., Zhu, Y., Ge, J., Ma, Y., 2008. Neural consequences of religious belief on self-referential processing. Soc. Neurosci. 3, 1–15.
- Han, S., Gu, X., Mao, L., Ge, J., Wang, G., Ma, Y., 2010. Neural substrates of self-referential processing in Chinese Buddhists. Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci. 5, 332–339.
- Han, S., Northoff, G., Vogeley, K., Wexler, B.E., Kitayama, S., Varnum, M.E.W., in press. A cultural neuroscience approach to the biosocial nature of the human brain. Annu. Rev. Psychol.

Author's personal copy

- Harmony, T., Fernández, T., Silva, J., Bernal, J., Díaz-Comas, L., Reyes, A., Marosi, E., Rodríguez, M., Rodríguez, M., 1996. EEG delta activity: an indicator of attention to internal processing during performance of mental tasks. Int. J. Psychophysiol. 24. 161-171
- Heatherton, T.F., 2011. Neuroscience of self and self-regulation. Annu. Rev. Psychol. 62, 363-390.
- Heatherton, T.F., Wyland, C.L., Macrae, C.N., Demos, K.E., Denny, B.T., Kelley, W.M., 2006. Medial prefrontal activity differentiates self from close others. Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci. 1, 18-25.
- Herrmann, C.S., Knight, R.T., 2001. Mechanisms of human attention: event-related potentials and oscillations. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 25, 465-476.
- Herrmann, C.S., Lenz, D., Junge, S., Busch, N.A., Maess, B., 2004a. Memory-matches evoke human gamma responses. BMC Neurosci. 5, 13.
- Herrmann, C.S., Munk, M.H.J., Engel, A.K., 2004b. Cognitive functions of gamma band activity: memory match and utilization. Trends Cogn. Neurosci. 8, 347-355.
- Kaiser, J., Bühler, M., Lutzenberger, W., 2004. Magnetoencephalographic gamma-band responses to illusory triangles in humans. NeuroImage 23, 551-560.
- Kelley, W.M., Macrae, C.N., Wylan, C.L., Caglar, S., Inati, S., Heatherton, T.F., 2002. Find-
- ing the self? An event-related fMRI study. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 14, 785–794. Klein, S.B., Cosmides, L., Tooby, J., Chance, S., 2002. Decisions and the evolution memo-
- ry: multiple systems, multiple functions. Psychol. Rev. 109, 306–329. Kronland-Martinet, R., Morlet, J., Grossmann, A., 1987. Analysis of sound patterns through wavelet transforms. Int. J. Pattern Recognit. Artif. Intell. 1, 273-302.
- Lachaux, J.P., Rodriguez, E., Martinerie, J., Varela, F.J., 1999. Measuring phase synchrony in brain signals. Hum. Brain Mapp. 8, 194-208.
- Li, Y., Umeno, K., Hori, E., Takakura, H., Urakawa, S., Ono, T., Nishijo, H., 2007. Global synchronization in the theta band during mental imagery of navigation in humans. Neurosci. Res. 65, 44-52.
- Liu, Y., 1990. Modern Lexicon of Chinese Frequently-used Word Frequency. Space Navigation Press, Beijing.
- Lutz, A., Greischar, L.L., Rawlings, N.B., Ricard, M., Davidson, R.J., 2004. Long-term meditators self-induce high-amplitude gamma synchrony during mental practice. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 101, 16369–16373.
- Ma, Y., Han, S., 2011. Neural representation of self-concept in sighted and congenitally blind adults. Brain 134, 235–246.
- Ma, Y., Bang, D., Wang, C., Allen, M., Frith, C., Roepstorff, A., Han, S., in press. Sociocultural patterning of neural activity during self-reflection. Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosc
- Macrae, C.N., Moran, J.M., Heatherton, T.F., Banfield, J.F., Kelley, W.M., 2004. Medial prefrontal activity predicts memory for self. Cereb. Cortex 14, 647-654.
- Magno, E., Allan, K., 2007. Self-reference during explicit memory retrieval: an event-related potential analysis. Psychol. Sci. 18, 672-677.
- Maris, E., Oostenveld, R., 2007. Nonparametric statistical testing of EEG- and MEG-data. J. Neurosci. Methods 164, 177-190.
- Markus, H.R., Kitayama, S., 1991. Culture and the self: implication for cognition, emotion and motivation. Psychol. Rev. 98, 224–253. Martini, N., Menicucci, D., Sebastiani, L., Bedini, R., Pingitore, A., Vanello, N., Milanesi,
- M., Landini, L., Gemignani, A., 2012. The dynamics of EEG gamma responses to unpleasant visual stimuli: from local activity to functional connectivity. NeuroImage 60, 922-932.
- Moran, J.M., Macrae, C.N., Heatherton, T.F., Wyland, C.L., Kelley, W.M., 2006. Neuroanatomical evidence for distinct cognitive and affective components of self. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 18, 1586-1594.
- Mu, Y., Han, S., 2010. Neural oscillations involved in self-referential processing. NeuroImage 53, 757-768.

- Müller, M.M., Gruber, T., Keil, A., 2000. Modulation of induced gamma band activity in the human EEG by attention and visual information processing. Int. J. Psychophysiol. 38, 283–299.
- Northoff, G., Heinzel, A., de Greck, M., Bermpohl, F., Dobrowolny, H., Panksepp, J., 2006. Self-referential processing in our brain - a meta-analysis of imaging studies on the self. NeuroImage 31, 440-457.
- Orekhova, E.V., Stroganova, T.A., Posikera, I.N., 2001. Alpha activity as an index of cortical inhibition during sustained internally controlled attention in infants. Clin. Neurophysiol. 112, 740-749.
- Payne, L., Kounios, J., 2009. Coherent oscillatory networks supporting short-term memory retention. Brain Res. 1247, 126-132.
- Pfurtscheller, G., 1992. Event-related synchronization (ERS): an electrophysiological correlate of cortical areas at rest. Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol. 83, 62-69.
- Pfurtscheller, G., Aranibar, A., 1979. Evaluation of event-related desynchronization (ERD) preceding and following voluntary self-paced movement. Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol. 46, 138–146.
- Pfurtscheller, G., Lopes da Silva, F.H., 1999. Event-related EEG/MEG synchronization and desynchronization: basic principles. Clin. Neurophysiol. 110, 1842-1857
- Pfurtscheller, G., StancaÂk Jr., A., Neuper, Ch, 1996. Event-related synchronization (ERS in the alpha band - an electrophysiological correlate of cortical idling: a review. Int. J. Psychophysiol. 24, 39-46.
- Rodriguez, E., George, N., Lachaux, J.P., Martinerie, J., Renault, B., Varela, F.J., 1999. Perception's shadow: long-distance synchronization of human brain activity. Nature 397, 391-393.
- Rogers, T.B., Kuiper, N.A., Kirker, W.S., 1977. Self-reference and the encoding of personal information. J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. 35, 677–688. Sarnthein, J., Petsche, H., Rappelsberger, P., Shaw, G.L., von Stein, A., 1998. Synchroniza-
- tion between prefrontal and posterior association cortex during human working memory. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 95, 7092-7096.
- Shestyuk, A.Y., Deldin, P.J., 2010. Automatic and strategic representation of the self in major depression: trait and state abnormalities. Am. J. Psychiatry 167, 536-544.
- Steriade, M., Llinas, R., 1988. The functional states of the thalamus and the associated neuronal interplay. Phys. Rev. 68, 649–742. Tallon-Baudry, C., 2009. The roles of gamma-band oscillatory synchrony in human vi-
- sual cognition. Front. Biosci. 14, 321-332.
- Tallon-Baudry, C., Bertrand, O., Delpuech, C., Pernier, J., 1996. Stimulus specificity of phase-locked and non-phase-locked 40 Hz visual responses in human. J. Neurosci. 16. 4240-4249.
- Tallon-Baudry, C., Bertrand, O., Delpuech, C., Permier, J., 1997. Oscillatory gamma-band (30-70 Hz) activity induced by a visual search task in humans. J. Neurosci. 17, 722-734. Varela, F., Lachaux, J.P., Rodriguez, E., Martinerie, J., 2001. The brainweb: phase syn-
- chronization and large-scale integration. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 2, 229-239. Wang, G., Mao, L., Ma, Y., Yang, X., Cao, J., Liu, X., Wang, J., Wang, X., Han, S., 2012. Neural representations of close others in collectivistic brains. Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci. 7, 222-229
- Watson, L.A., Dritschel, B., 2007. Seeing yourself in a positive light: brain correlates of the self-positivity bias. Brain Res. 1152, 106-110.
- Wu, X., Chen, X., Li, Z., Han, S., Zhang, D., 2007. Binding of verbal and spatial information in human working memory involves large-scale neural synchronization at theta frequency. NeuroImage 35, 1654–1662.
- Zhu, Y., Zhang, L., Fan, J., Han, S., 2007. Neural basis of cultural influence on self-representation. NeuroImage 34, 1310–1316.
- Zysset, S., Huber, O., Ferstl, E., von Cramon, D.Y., 2002. The anterior frontomedian cortex and evaluative judgment: an fMRI study. NeuroImage 15, 983-991.

256